## **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 10151 Response rate: 94.9 %

Your program	and S	Support	Provider

1. How long after you were hired into an assignment that requires a	10	112	00.60/		
California preliminary teaching credential were you <b>enrolled</b> in a Commission-approved induction or clear credential program?	DNR	113 <i>38</i>	99.6%		
	Dittie	30	0.470	2.40	
1 = At the time of hire or before beginning work with students	4	228	41.8%	1.66	Mean
2 = Within one to two months of beginning my assignment	_	455	24.3%	1.00	SD
3 = Within three to five months of beginning my					
assignment		308	3.0%		
$4 = \frac{\text{More than five months after beginning my assignment}}{\text{More than five months after beginning my assignment}}$		468	4.6%		
5 = One year or more after beginning my assignment	2	654	26.2%		
2. How long after you were enrolled in your induction/clear credential program did you begin <b>working with a Support Provider (SP)</b> or receive	10	091	99.4%		
support from Clear Credential Personnel?	DNR	60	0.6%		
$1 = \overline{\text{Within one month of enrolling in the program}}$	8	786	87.1%	1.23	Mean
2 = Within two months of enrolling in the program		826	8.2%	0.72	SD
$3 = \frac{1}{2}$ More than three months after enrolling in the program		205	2.0%		
$4 = \overline{I}$ was assigned a Support Provider but never worked with him/her		33	0.3%		
5 = I was never assigned a Support Provider		241	2.4%		
	4.0	005	00.50/		
3. What was the length of <b>your</b> induction/clear credential program?	DNR	096	99.5%		
		55	0.5%	0.55	
1 = Less than 1 school year		319	3.2%		Mean
2 = 1 school year		632	16.2%	0.89	SD
3 = More than 1 school year but less than 2 school years	_	340	3.4%		
4 = 2 school years	7	604	75.3%		
5 = More than 2 school years		201	2.0%		
How helpful was your Support Provider/Mentor/System of Support in helping you impact students in learning regarding the following:					
42 Madeling instruction while I observed	10	067	99.2%		
4a. Modeling instruction while I observed	DNR	84	0.8%		
1 = Very Helpful	5	582	55.4%	1.70	Mean
2 = Helpful	2	615	26.0%	0.93	SD
3 = Somewhat helpful	1	171	11.6%		
4 = Not at all helpful		699	6.9%		
	10	066	00.30/		
4b. Identifying Resources		066 <i>e</i> E	99.2%		
Vom Holoful	DNR		0.8%	1.40	
1 = Very Helpful		352	63.1%		Mean
2 = Helpful		721	27.0%	0.72	SD
3 = Somewhat helpful		831	8.3%		
4 = Not at all helpful		162	1.6%		
	10	060	99.1%		
4c. Providing feedback from observations to improve my instruction	DNR		0.9%		
1 = Very Helpful	6	934	68.9%	1.41	Mean
2 = Helpful		323	23.1%	0.68	
3 = Somewhat helpful	_	646	6.4%	3.30	30
4 = Not at all helpful	_	157	1.6%		
T =			2.3 70		

## **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 10151 Response rate: 94.9 %

		10043	98.9%	
4d. Teaching Practices		DNR 108	1.1%	
1 =	Very Helpful	6348	63.2%	1.47 Mean
2 =	Helpful	2777	27.7%	0.70 <b>SD</b>
3 =	Somewhat helpful	775	7.7%	
4 =	Not at all helpful	143	1.4%	
		10040	98.9%	
4e. Content Support		DNR 111	1.1%	
1 =	Very Helpful	5336	53.1%	1.69 <b>Mean</b>
2 =	Helpful	2901	28.9%	0.87 <b>SD</b>
3 =	Somewhat helpful	1357	13.5%	
4 =	Not at all helpful	446	4.4%	
46 Instructional Design and	Diamaina	10041	98.9%	
4f. Instructional Design and		DNR 110	1.1%	
1 =	Very Helpful	5547	55.2%	1.62 <b>Mean</b>
2 =	Helpful	3049	30.4%	0.80 <b>SD</b>
3 =	Somewhat helpful	1162	11.6%	
4 =	Not at all helpful	283	2.8%	
As Cuasting and Maintainin	a a Cafa and Daritiva Climata	10047	99.0%	
4g. Creating and Maintainin	g a Safe and Positive Climate	DNR 104	1.0%	
1 =	Very Helpful	6318	62.9%	1.49 <b>Mean</b>
2 =	Helpful	2736	27.2%	0.72 <b>SD</b>
3 =	Somewhat helpful	804	8.0%	
4 =	Not at all helpful	189	1.9%	
4h. Using strategies to supp	port English Learners	10037	98.9%	
411. Using strategies to supp		DNR 114	1.1%	
1 =	Very Helpful	5218	52.0%	1.67 <b>Mean</b>
2 =	Helpful	3221	32.1%	0.82 <b>SD</b>
3 =	Somewhat helpful	1274	12.7%	
4 =	Not at all helpful	324	3.2%	
Ai Using stratogies to supp	ort students with disabilities	10025	98.8%	
The Osing Strategies to Supp		DNR 126	1.2%	
1 =	Very Helpful	4881	48.7%	1.73 <b>Mean</b>
2 =	Helpful	3329	33.2%	0.83 <b>SD</b>
3 =	Somewhat helpful	1475	14.7%	
4 =	Not at all helpful	340	3.4%	
4i Minimizing hias and usin	g culturally responsive pedagogy	10045	99.0%	
iji i mimilizing bias and usii		DNR 106	1.0%	
1 =	Very Helpful	5481	54.6%	1.62 Mean
2 =	Helpful	3210	32.0%	0.79 <b>SD</b>
3 =	Somewhat helpful	1045	10.4%	
4 =	Not at all helpful	309	3.1%	

## **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 10151 Response rate: 94.9 %

	10041	98.9%		
4k. Setting and reaching Professional Learning Goals	DNR 110	1.1%		
1 = Very Helpful	6683	66.6%	1.43	Mean
2 = Helpful	2583	25.7%	0.68	
3 = Somewhat helpful	622	6.2%		30
4 = Not at all helpful	153	1.5%		
4 - ·····				
	9626	94.8%		
5. How well matched were you with your Support Provider?	DNR 525	5.2%		
1 = Well matched	8370	87.0%	1 16	Mean
2 = Somewhat well matched	999	10.4%	0.43	
3 = Not well matched	257	2.7%	0.43	SD
3 = Not well materied	237	2.7 70		
Provider please respond to questions 6a and 6b:  6a. in which of the following areas could the match have been improved?  Mark all that apply	1039 DNR 217	82.7% 17.3%		
Grade level or subject area experience or background	644	62.0%		
Familiarity with site resources, expectations, policies, and procedures	234	22.5%		
Schedules /opportunities to meet	293	28.2%		
Personality, disposition, and working style	296	28.5%		
Teaching philosophy and style	198	19.1%		
6b. Did the program address the issue(s) with the match?	1234 DNR 22	98.2% 1.8%		
1 = Yes	262	21.2%	2.18	Mean
2 = To some extent, but not fully	483	39.1%	0.76	
3 = No	489	39.6%		32
7. On average, how frequently did you and your Support Provider have meaningful communication about issues related to your teaching practice? This includes all face-to-face or virtual interactions via technology.	9597 DNR 554	94.5% 5.5%		
1 = Daily	873	9.1%	2.80	Mean
2 = Two or three times per week	2194	22.9%	0.92	SD
3 = Weekly	4840	50.4%		
4 = Twice per month	1324	13.8%		
5 = Less than twice per month	366	3.8%		
8. Across the full induction/clear program, how frequently did your Support Provider observe and coach you in your classroom during the program (in person or via visual technology)?  1 = More than ten times during the entire program	9577 DNR 574 2291	94.3% 5.7% 23.9%	2,29	Mean
2 = 6-10 times during the entire program	3156	33.0%	0.95	
3 = 3-5 times during the entire program	3318	34.6%		<i>30</i>
4 = Once or twice during the entire program	684	7.1%		
5 = I was not observed by my Support Provider	128	1.3%		
3 = 11 11 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21				

## **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 10151 Response rate: 94.9 %

O What are not of interesting with a second Describer would be a been	9576	94.3%	
9. What amount of interaction with your Support Provider would have been best for you?	DNR 575	5.7%	
1 = Significantly more time	359	3.7%	2.86 <b>Me</b>
2 = A little more time	1265	13.2%	0.59 <b>SD</b>
3 = The same amount of time I had	7427	77.6%	30
4 = A little less time	419	4.4%	
5 = Much less time	106	1.1%	
J = 1.00.1.000 till.10	200	11170	
onnections between your induction/clear program and your Ir	adividual Ir	ductio	n Dlan (IID)
Reflecting on your engagement with formative assessment activities during credential program experience:			ii i iaii (iii )
10. To what degree was there cohesion between the professional	9536	93.9%	
development received in district or on site and induction/clear credential	DNR 615	6.1%	
1 = Strong	4776	51.3%	1.55 <b>Me</b>
2 = Moderate	3923	42.1%	0.62 <b>SD</b>
3 = Weak	620	6.7%	
Not applicable to me *	217	-	
	9531	03.00/	
11. How strong was the collaboration between your induction or clear		93.9%	
credential program and your site administration?	DNR 620	6.1%	1.02
1 = Very Strong	2991	36.0%	1.82 <b>Me</b>
2 = Strong	3784	45.6%	0.72 <b>SD</b>
3 = Not Strong	1524	18.4%	
I do not have sufficient information to answer this question *	1232		
How much impact did participating in the following activities have on your c 12a. Collection and analysis of evidence of my teaching practice	lassroom prac 9517 DNR 634	93.8% 6.2%	
Evtoncivo impact			1 20
$1 = \frac{\text{Extensive impact}}{\text{Limited impact}}$	6157 3064	32.3%	1.38 <b>Me</b>
2 = Limited impact 3 = No impact	268	2.8%	0.54 <b>SD</b>
I did not participate in this activity*	28	2.070	
T did not participate in this activity *	20	-	
	9518	93.8%	
12b. Analysis of my students' work	DNR 633	6.2%	
$_{1} = \overline{\text{Extensive impact}}$	6831	72.0%	1.30 <b>Me</b>
2 = Limited impact	2435	25.7%	0.51 <b>SD</b>
3 = No impact	216	2.3%	0.31 SD
I did not participate in this activity *	36	-	
	9511	93.7%	
12c. Observation of experienced teachers	DNR 640	6.3%	
	0.10		4.00
1 - Extensive impact	6483	72 6%	] 3() ##-
1 = Extensive impact 2 = Limited impact	6483 2244	72.6%	1.30 <b>Me</b> 0.50 <b>SD</b>

I did not participate in this activity \*

3 = No impact

205

579

2.3%

## **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 10151 Response rate: 94.9 %

12d. Examination of my teaching p Continuum of Teaching Practice)	ractice against the CSTP (e.g., the	9495 DNR 656	93.5% <i>6.5%</i>		
1 = Extensi	ve impact	5354	57.5%	1.48	Mean
2 = Limited	impact	3434	36.9%	0.60	
3 = No impa	act	528	5.7%		
	I did not participate in this activity *	179	-		
12e. Development of my Individual	Induction Plan (IIP)/Individual Learning	9496	93.5%		
Plan (ILP)		DNR 655	6.5%		
1 = Extensi	ve impact	5607	59.8%	1.45	Mean
2 = Limited	impact	3278	35.0%	0.59	SD
3 = No impa	act	489	5.2%		
	I did not participate in this activity $st$	122	-		
12f. Professional Learning as identi	fied on my IIP or IIP	9492	93.5%		
———	med on my in or ier	DNR 659	6.5%		
1 = Extensi	ve impact	5643	60.4%	1.44	Mean
2 = Limited	impact	3324	35.6%	0.57	SD
3 = No impa	act	373	4.0%		
	I did not participate in this activity $st$	152	-		
12g. Collaboration with colleagues		9489	93.5%		
12g. Collaboration with colleagues		DNR 662	6.5%		
1 = Extensi	ve impact	7458	79.2%	1.23	Mean
2 = Limited	impact	1744	18.5%	0.47	SD
3 = No impa	act	217	2.3%		
	I did not participate in this activity *	70	-		

## Impact of Induction on Teaching Practice

#### **Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning**

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

12a Connect classro	om los	rning to the real world	9345	92.1%		
13a. Connect classroo	om iea	rning to the real world	DNR 806	7.9%		
	1 =	Very well	3682	39.4%	1.91	Mean
	2 =	Well	3537	37.8%	0.93	SD
	3 =	Adequately	1624	17.4%		
	4 =	Poorly	326	3.5%		
	5 =	Not at all	176	1.9%		
	_					
13b. Engage students	s in inc	uiry, problem solving, and reflection to promote	9337	92.0%		
13b. Engage students their critical thinking	s in inc	uiry, problem solving, and reflection to promote	9337 DNR <i>814</i>	92.0% <i>8.0%</i>		
5 5		uiry, problem solving, and reflection to promote  Very well			1.80	Mean
5 5	1 =		DNR 814	8.0%	1.80 0.88	
5 5	1 = 2 =	Very well	<b>DNR</b> 814 4150	8.0% 44.4%		
5 5	1 = 2 = 3 =	Very well Well	DNR 814 4150 3393	8.0% 44.4% 36.3%		
5 5	1 = 2 = 3 =	Very well Well Adequately	DNR 814 4150 3393 1432	8.0% 44.4% 36.3% 15.3%		

#### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 10151 Response rate: 94.9 %

12 - Mark that in the continue to a second	and of Funlish Incomes	9337	92.0%		
13c. Meet the instructional r	needs of English learners	DNR 814	8.0%		
1 =	Very well	3515	37.6%	1.93	Mean
2 =	Well	3494	37.4%	0.91	SD
3 =	Adequately	1911	20.5%		
4 =	Poorly	297	3.2%		
5 =	Not at all	120	1.3%		
13d. Identify and address sp	pecial learning needs with appropriate teaching	9334	92.0%		
strategies		DNR 817	8.0%		
1 =	Very well	3717	39.8%	1.89	Mean
2 =	Well	3477	37.3%	0.90	SD
3 =	Adequately	1719	18.4%		
4 =	Poorly	304	3.3%		
5 =	Not at all	117	1.3%		

#### **Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning**

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

14a Establish and maintain	a safe and respectful learning environment for	9332	91.9%		
all students	a sale and respective realising confidence to	DNR 819	8.1%		
1 =	Very well	4979	53.4%	1.66	Mean
2 =	Well	2944	31.5%	0.85	SD
3 =	Adequately	1154	12.4%		
4 =	Poorly	120	1.3%		
5 =	Not at all	135	1.4%		
14b. Create a productive lea	arning environment with high expectations for all	9331	91.9%		
students		DNR 820	8.1%		
1 =	Very well	4995	53.5%	1.65	Mean
2 =	Well	3001	32.2%	0.83	SD
3 =	Adequately	1092	11.7%		
4 =	Poorly	122	1.3%		
5 =	Not at all	121	1.3%		

#### **Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning**

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

15a. Use effective instruction	onal strategies to teach specific subject matter	9326	91.9%		
and skills		DNR 825	8.1%		
1 =	Very well	4388	47.1%	1.75	Mean
2 =	Well	3322	35.6%	0.87	SD
3 =	Adequately	1272	13.6%		
4 =	Poorly	224	2.4%		
5 =	Not at all	120	1.3%		

#### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 10151 Response rate: 94.9 %

15b. Select, adapt, and develop materials, resources, and technologies to	9320	91.8%	
make subject matter accessible to all students	DNR 831	8.2%	_
1 = Very well	4452	47.8%	
2 = Well	3237	34.7%	
3 = Adequately	1299	13.9%	
4 = Poorly	213	2.3%	
5 = Not at all	119	1.3%	

0.87 <b>SD</b>	SD

#### Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

16a. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual		9321	91.8%
, 3 3 1 ,,		DNR 830	8.2%
1 =	Very well	4220	45.3%
2 = Well		3478	37.3%
3 =	Adequately	1344	14.4%
4 =	Poorly	174	1.9%
5 =	Not at all	105	1.1%

1.76	Mean
0.85	SD

16b. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies,		91.8%
resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students		8.2%
1 = Very well		47.0%
2 = Well		36.5%
3 = Adequately		13.6%
4 = Poorly		1.7%
5 = Not at all		1.1%

1.73	Mean
0.84	SD

136

1.5%

#### **Assessing Students for Learning**

5 = Not at all

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

17a. Involve all students in s	self-assessment, goal setting, and monitoring	9316	91.8%	
progress	-	DNR 835	8.2%	
1 =	Very well	3689	39.6%	
2 =	Well	3489	37.5%	
3 =	Adequately	1721	18.5%	
4 =	Poorly	272	2.9%	
5 = Not at all		145	1.6%	
9318 91.89				
17b. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning		DNR 833	8.2%	
1 =	Very well	3832	41.1%	
2 =	Well	3546	38.1%	
3 =	Adequately	1576	16.9%	
4 =	Poorly	228	2.4%	

1.89	Mean
0.91	SD

1.85 **Mean** 0.89 **SD** 

#### **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 10151 Response rate: 94.9 %

#### **Developing as a Professional Educator**

To what degree did your overall INDUCTION/CLEAR CREDENTIAL EXPERIENCE impact your classroom practice in the following areas?

		0210	01.00/		
	of actions on student learning and modify plans	9318	91.8%		
accordingly		DNR 833	8.2%		
1	= Very well	4238	45.5%		Mean
2	= Well	3477	37.3%	0.83	SD
3	Adequately	1373	14.7%		
4	= Poorly	134	1.4%		
5	Not at all	96	1.0%		
		9321	91.8%		
18b. Work with colleagues	to improve instruction	DNR 830	8.2%		
1	Very well	4603	49.4%	1.74	Mean
2	_ Well	3041	32.6%	0.90	
3	Adequately	1320	14.2%		
4	Poorly	208	2.2%		
5	Not at all	149	1.6%		
19. Overall, how effective					
	e was your induction program at developing Is you needed to grow your teaching	9305	91.7%		
the skills, habits, or too	e was your induction program at developing Is you needed to grow your teaching	9305 DNR 846	91.7%		
the skills, habits, or too	Is you needed to grow your teaching			1.72	Mean
the skills, habits, or too practice?	Is you needed to grow your teaching	DNR 846	8.3%	1.72 0.79	Mean SD
the skills, habits, or too practice?	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective	DNR 846 4324	8.3% 46.5%		
the skills, habits, or too practice?	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective  Somewhat effective	DNR 846 4324 3483	8.3% 46.5% 37.4%		
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective  Somewhat effective	DNR 846 4324 3483 1269	8.3% 46.5% 37.4% 13.6%		
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective  Somewhat effective  Not at all effective  e was your induction program at developing	DNR 846 4324 3483 1269 229	8.3% 46.5% 37.4% 13.6% 2.5%		
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective  Somewhat effective  Not at all effective	DNR 846 4324 3483 1269 229	8.3% 46.5% 37.4% 13.6% 2.5%		
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective  Somewhat effective  Not at all effective  e was your induction program at developing ls you needed to continue in your career as a	DNR 846 4324 3483 1269 229	8.3% 46.5% 37.4% 13.6% 2.5%	0.79	SD
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective  Somewhat effective  Not at all effective  e was your induction program at developing Is you needed to continue in your career as a	DNR 846 4324 3483 1269 229	8.3% 46.5% 37.4% 13.6% 2.5%	1.71	SD
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective the skills, habits, or too teacher?	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective  Somewhat effective  Not at all effective  e was your induction program at developing Is you needed to continue in your career as a  Very effective	DNR 846 4324 3483 1269 229 9308 DNR 843	8.3% 46.5% 37.4% 13.6% 2.5% 91.7% 8.3%	0.79	SD
the skills, habits, or too practice?  1 2 3 4 20. Overall, how effective the skills, habits, or too teacher?	Is you needed to grow your teaching  Very effective  Effective  Somewhat effective  Not at all effective  e was your induction program at developing ls you needed to continue in your career as a  Very effective  Effective	DNR 846 4324 3483 1269 229  9308 DNR 843 4406	8.3% 46.5% 37.4% 13.6% 2.5% 91.7% 8.3% 47.3%	1.71	SD

## Demographic Information

21. Are you Hispanic or Latino?  No, not Hispanic or Latino		91.0%
		9.0%
		73.4%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	2461	26.6%

## **Statewide Results**

Total survey respondents: 10151 Response rate: 94.9 %

22. What is your race? Mark all that apply		8278	81.5%
		DNR1873	18.5%
	American Indian or Alaska Native	398	3.9%
	Chinese	349	3.4%
	Japanese	157	1.5%
	Korean	169	1.7%
	Vietnamese	118	1.2%
	Asian Indian	98	1.0%
	Laotian	16	0.2%
	Cambodian	17	0.2%
	Filipino	242	2.4%
	Hmong	27	0.3%
	Other Asian	106	1.0%
	Black or African American	349	3.4%

Hawaiian

Samoan

Tahitian

White

Guamanian

Other Pacific Islander

9270	91.3%
DNR 881	8.7%
7517	74.1%
1652	16.3%
577	5.7%
19	0.2%
57	0.6%
	7517 1652 577

24.	What	is	your	gender?

	9256	91.2%
	DNR 895	8.8%
Female	6802	73.5%
Male	2274	24.6%
Decline to state	180	1.9%

35

20

12

29

6966

0.3%

0.2%

0.1%

0.1%

0.3%

68.6%

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 94.9 %)

# Survey Requests Made			Name of Institution
13	13	100 %	ALHAMBRA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
29	29	100 %	ANAHEIM ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
12	11	91.67 %	ANAHEIM UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
8	8	100 %	ANIMO LEADERSHIP CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL (GREEN DOT PUBLIC SCHOOLS)
20	19	95 %	ANTELOPE VALLEY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
21	21	100 %	ANTIOCH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
28	24	85.71 %	ANTIOCH UNIVERSITY
12	12	100 %	ARCADIA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
89	82	92.13 %	ASPIRE PUBLIC SCHOOLS
35	32	91.43 %	AZUSA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
55	55	100 %	BAKERSFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
14	14	100 %	BALDWIN PARK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
41	38	92.68 %	BAY AREA SCHOOL OF ENTERPRISE (REACH INSTITUTE)
24	22	91.67 %	BELLFLOWER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
14	13	92.86 %	BIOLA UNIVERSITY
43	40	93.02 %	BRENTWOOD UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
41	38	92.68 %	BURBANK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
5	4	80 %	BUTTE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
1	0	0 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, DOMINGUEZ HILLS
2	1	50 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO
118	110	93.22 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON
9	8	88.89 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH
3	2	66.67 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES
63	57	90.48 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE
8	3	37.5 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO
3	1	33.33 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
1	1	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN MARCOS
1	1	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, STANISLAUS
84	79	94.05 %	CAMPBELL UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
52	47	90.38 %	CAPISTRANO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
30	29	96.67 %	CENTRAL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
16	16	100 %	CERES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
22	21	95.45 %	CHAFFEY JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
3	3	100 %	CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY
80	76	95 %	CHULA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
24	24	100 %	CLAREMONT GRADUATE UNIVERSITY
43	41	95.35 %	CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
9	8	88.89 %	COMPTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
15	13	86.67 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE
10	10	100 %	CONEJO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
169	160	94.67 %	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
26	26	100 %	CORONA-NORCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
22	21	95.45 %	CULVER CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
35	30	85.71 %	CUPERTINO UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 94.9 %)

# Survey Requests Made			Name of Institution
69	68	98.55 %	DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
6	6	100 %	DOS PALOS ORO LOMA JOINT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
89	85	95.51 %	EL DORADO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
3	3	100 %	EL RANCHO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
78	74	94.87 %	ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
22	21	95.45 %	ENCINITAS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
24	23	95.83 %	ESCONDIDO UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
24	21	87.5 %	ETIWANDA SCHOOL DISTRICT
5	5	100 %	EVERGREEN SCHOOL DISTRICT
24	24	100 %	FONTANA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
63	62	98.41 %	FREMONT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
15	15	100 %	FREMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
98	93	94.9 %	FRESNO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
11	10	90.91 %	FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
139	135	97.12 %	FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
33	33	100 %	FULLERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT
33	32	96.97 %	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
14	14	100 %	GROSSMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
12	12	100 %	HACIENDA LA PUENTE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
22	20	90.91 %	HANFORD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
31	29	93.55 %	HAYWARD UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
12	9	<i>75</i> %	HEBREW UNION COLLEGE
63	59	93.65 %	HIGH TECH HIGH
45	44	97.78 %	IMPERIAL COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
70	65	92.86 %	IRVINE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
3	3	100 %	KEPPEL UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
143	142	99.3 %	KERN COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS
70	69	98.57 %	KERN HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
30	30	100 %	KINGS COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
2	2	100 %	LA MESA-SPRING VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT
3	3	100 %	LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY
19	19	100 %	LANCASTER SCHOOL DISTRICT
38	34	89.47 %	LODI UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
47	44	93.62 %	LONG BEACH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
276	261	94.57 %	LOS ANGELES COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
416	394	94.71 %	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
23	20	86.96 %	LOS BANOS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
32	28	87.5 %	LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY
45	41	91.11 %	MADERA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
26	24	92.31 %	MANTECA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
58	55	94.83 %	MARIN COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
47	45	95.74 %	MERCED COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
20	20	100 %	MERCED UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
17	16	94.12 %	MILPITAS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 94.9 %)

# Survey Requests Made			Name of Institution
41	40	97.56 %	MODESTO CITY SCHOOLS
19	19	100 %	MONTEBELLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
79	71	89.87 %	MONTEREY COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
33	32	96.97 %	MOUNT SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY
19	19	100 %	MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
30	26	86.67 %	MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT - FORTUNE SCHOOL
16	16	100 %	MURRIETA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
33	31	93.94 %	NAPA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
28	22	78.57 %	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
31	28	90.32 %	NEW HAVEN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
27	26	96.3 %	NEWARK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
8	8	100 %	OAK GROVE SCHOOL DISTRICT
84	77	91.67 %	OAKLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
34	33	97.06 %	OCEAN VIEW SCHOOL DISTRICT
15	14	93.33 %	ONTARIO-MONTCLAIR SCHOOL DISTRICT
105	101	96.19 %	ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
41	39	95.12 %	ORANGE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
2	2	100 %	PACIFIC UNION COLLEGE
7	7	100 %	PALMDALE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT
11	11	100 %	PALMDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT
28	25	89.29 %	PALO ALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
48	48	100 %	PALOS VERDES PENINSULA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
27	26	96.3 %	PANAMA-BUENA VISTA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
30	29	96.67 %	PARAMOUNT UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
27	25	92.59 %	PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
30	29	96.67 %	PLACENTIA-YORBA LINDA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
90	87	96.67 %	PLACER COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
194	186	95.88 %	PLEASANTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
9	7	77.78 %	POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY
12	11	91.67 %	POMONA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
45	40	88.89 %	POWAY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
56	54	96.43 %	PUC SCHOOLS
4	4	100 %	REDWOOD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
41	40	97.56 %	RIALTO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
1181	1142	96.7 %	RIVERSIDE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
71	68	95.77 %	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
11	11	100 %	ROWLAND UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
46	42	91.3 %	SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
244	233	95.49 %	SACRAMENTO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
18	18	100 %	SADDLEBACK VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
54	50	92.59 %	SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
154	145	94.16 %	SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
325	302	92.92 %	SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY
116	111	95.69 %	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 94.9 %)

# Survey Requests Made			Name of Institution
15	14	93.33 %	SAN DIEGUITO UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
145	133	91.72 %	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
30	29	96.67 %	SAN GABRIEL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
1	1	100 %	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
75	68	90.67 %	SAN JOSE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
51	48	94.12 %	SAN JUAN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
56	55	98.21 %	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
58	55	94.83 %	SAN MARCOS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
35	33	94.29 %	SAN MATEO - FOSTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
138	128	92.75 %	SAN MATEO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
63	61	96.83 %	SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
35	35	100 %	SANGER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
46	45	97.83 %	SANTA ANA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
126	120	95.24 %	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY EDUCATION OFFICE
31	30	96.77 %	SANTA CLARA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
10	9	90 %	SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY
296	281	94.93 %	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
6	5	83.33 %	SANTA MONICA-MALIBU UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
18	17	94.44 %	SAUGUS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
13	10	76.92 %	SCHOOL FOR INTEGRATED ACADEMICS AND TECHNOLOGY (SIA TECH)
36	35	97.22 %	SELMA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
32	32	100 %	SEQUOIA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
234	222	94.87 %	SONOMA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
1	1	100 %	SONOMA STATE UNIVERSITY
24	24	100 %	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
107	105	98.13 %	STANISLAUS COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
20	20	100 %	STOCKTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
15	13	86.67 %	SUMMIT PUBLIC SCHOOLS
104	99	95.19 %	SUTTER COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS
33	32	96.97 %	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT
80	74	92.5 %	TEACHERS COLLEGE OF SAN JOAQUIN
119	113	94.96 %	TEHAMA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
13	13	100 %	TEMPLE CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
34	29	85.29 %	TORRANCE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
7	6	85.71 %	TRACY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
11	10	90.91 %	TULARE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT
129	123	95.35 %	TULARE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
29	29	100 %	TUSTIN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
240	230	95.83 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
9	8	88.89 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
400	375	93.75 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
3	3	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF LA VERNE
23	23	100 %	VALLEJO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
228	219	96.05 %	VENTURA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 94.9 %)

# Survey Requests Made	# and % o Complet Respo	ers who	Name of Institution
63	59	93.65 %	VISALIA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
38	37	97.37 %	VISTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
68	68	100 %	WALNUT VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
20	19	95 %	WASHINGTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
51	48	94.12 %	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
39	38	97.44 %	WEST COVINA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
7	6	85.71 %	WESTSIDE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT
3	3	100 %	WHITTIER COLLEGE
29	28	96.55 %	WILLIAM S. HART UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT